

MONTHLY NOTICES

OF THE

ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY.

VOL. XXXII.

March 8, 1872.

No. 5.

PROFESSOR CAYLEY, President, in the Chair.

Rev. Samuel Jenkins Johnson, Upton Helions, near Crediton;
 George Walter Roberts, Esq., Gomersal, near Leeds;
 Alfred Herrtage, Esq., University College,

were balloted for and duly elected Fellows of the Society.

On a Pair of Differential Equations in the Lunar Theory.
 By Prof. Cayley.

I consider the differential equations

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{d\varrho}{dt} - \varrho \left(\frac{dv}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho^2} = k m^2 \varrho \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \cos (2v - 2mt) \right\},$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\varrho^2 \frac{dv}{dt} \right) = j m^2 \varrho^2 \left\{ -\frac{3}{2} \sin (2v - 2mt) \right\},$$

which when $j = k = 1$ give the following equations in the lunar theory ($D = t - mt$):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varrho} = 1 + \frac{1}{6} m^2 - \frac{179}{288} m^4 - \frac{97}{48} m^5 - \frac{757}{162} m^6 - \frac{4039}{432} m^7 - \frac{34751189}{1990656} m^8 \\ - \frac{155067635}{4976640} m^9 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \cos 2 D \left[m^2 + \frac{19}{6} m^3 + \frac{131}{18} m^4 + \frac{383}{27} m^5 + \frac{510565}{20736} m^6 + \frac{23140781}{622080} m^7 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{355021217}{9331200} m^8 + \frac{27888590059}{34992000} m^9 \right] \\
& + \cos 4 D \left[\frac{7}{8} m^4 + \frac{2737}{480} m^5 + \frac{162869}{7200} m^6 + \frac{7554833}{108000} m^7 + \frac{2389416723}{12960000} m^8 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{2335230125283}{5443200000} m^9 \right] \\
& + \cos 6 D \left[\frac{219}{256} m^6 + \frac{151339}{17920} m^7 + \frac{29887443}{627200} m^8 + \frac{98978623957}{444528000} m^9 \right], \\
& + \cos 8 D \left[\frac{2701}{3072} m^8 + \frac{70033633}{6021120} m^9 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

or as far as m^7 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon &= 1 - \frac{1}{6} m^2 + \frac{331}{288} m^4 + \frac{83}{16} m^5 + \frac{42775}{2592} m^6 + \frac{4787}{108} m^7 \\
& + \cos 2 D \left[-m^2 - \frac{19}{6} m^3 - \frac{125}{18} m^4 - \frac{709}{54} m^5 - \frac{485173}{20736} m^6 - \frac{24487949}{622080} m^7 \right] \\
& + \cos 4 D \left[-\frac{3}{8} m^4 - \frac{1217}{480} m^5 - \frac{74069}{7200} m^6 - \frac{1749779}{54000} m^7 \right] \\
& + \cos 6 D \left[-\frac{59}{256} m^6 - \frac{126193}{53760} m^7 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

($\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ is given by M. Delaunay only as far as m^5 , the additional terms of $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ and expression for ϵ were kindly communicated to me by Prof. Adams); and

$v = t$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sin 2 D \left(\frac{11}{8} m^2 + \frac{59}{12} m^3 + \frac{893}{72} m^4 + \frac{2855}{108} m^5 + \frac{8304449}{165888} m^6 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{102859909}{1244160} m^7 + \frac{7596606727}{74649600} m^8 - \frac{8051418161}{111974400} m^9 \right) \\
& + \sin 4 D \left(\frac{201}{256} m^4 + \frac{649}{120} m^5 + \frac{647623}{28800} m^6 + \frac{31363361}{432000} m^7 + \frac{123030377303}{414720000} m^8 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sin 6 D \left(\frac{3715}{6144} m^6 + \frac{664571}{107520} m^7 \right)$$

(Delaunay, t. xi. pp. 815, 836, 845.)

To integrate the original equations write

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho &= 1 + \varrho_1 + \varrho_2 + \dots \\ v &= t + v_1 + v_2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the suffixes indicate the degrees in the co-efficients k, j conjointly : the equations for ϱ_n, v_n take the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{d\varrho_n}{dt} - 3\varrho_n - 2 \frac{dv_n}{dt} + V_n = Q_n,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dv_n}{dt} + 2\varrho_n + U_n \right) = P_n,$$

where V_n, U_n, P_n, Q_n do not contain ϱ_n or v_n . From the second equation we have

$$\frac{dv_n}{dt} + 2\varrho_n + U_n = \Omega_n + \int P_n dt,$$

where Ω_n is a constant of integration, the integral $\int P_n dt$ containing only periodic terms; and then adding twice this to the first equation we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{d\varrho_n}{dt} + \varrho_n + V_n + 2U_n = 2\Omega_n + Q_n + 2 \int P_n dt$$

which determines ϱ_n ; and substituting its value in the other equation we have $\frac{dv_n}{dt}$, and thence v_n ; the constant Ω_n is determined so that $\frac{dv_n}{dt}$ may contain no constant term. We have

$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= 0, \\ v_2 &= - \left(\frac{dv_1}{dt} \right)^2 - \varrho_1^2 \frac{dv_1}{dt} + 3\varrho_1^2, \\ v_3 &= -2 \frac{dv_1}{dt} \frac{dv_2}{dt} - 2\varrho_1 \frac{dv_2}{dt} - \varrho_1 \left(\frac{dv_1}{dt} \right)^2 \\ &\quad - 2\varrho_2 \frac{dv_2}{dt} + 6\varrho_1\varrho_2 - 4\varrho_1^3, \\ &c. \end{aligned}$	}	$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= 0, \\ U_2 &= 2\varrho_1 \frac{dv_1}{dt} + \varrho_1^2, \\ U_3 &= 2\varrho_1 \frac{dv_2}{dt} + (2\varrho_2 + \varrho_1^2) \frac{dv_1}{dt} + 2\varrho_1\varrho_2, \\ &\&c. \end{aligned}$
---	---	---

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 Q_1 = k m^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \cos 2 D \right), & P_1 = j m^2 \left(-\frac{3}{2} \sin 2 D \right), \\
 Q_2 = k m^2 \left\{ 3 v_1 \sin 2 D + \xi_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \cos 2 D \right) \right\}, & P_2 = j m^2 \left(-3 v_1 \cos D - 3 \xi_1 \sin 2 D \right) \\
 Q_3 = k m^2 \left\{ -3 v_2 \sin 2 D - 3 v_1^2 \cos 2 D \right. & P_3 = j m^2 \left\{ -3 v_2 \cos 2 D + 3 v_1^2 \sin 2 D, \right. \\
 \quad \left. + \xi_1 v_1 \cdot 3 \sin 2 D \right. & \quad \left. - 6 \xi_1 v_1 \cos 2 D \right. \\
 \quad \left. + \xi_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \cos 2 D \right) \right\}, & \quad \left. + (2 \xi_2 + \xi_1^2) \cdot -\frac{3}{2} \sin 2 D \right\}, \\
 \&c. & \&c.
 \end{array}$$

In particular attending to the values of P_1 , Q_1 , the equations for ξ_1 , v_1 are in their original form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{d \xi_1}{dt} - 3 \xi_1 + 2 \frac{d v_1}{dt} = k m^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \cos 2 D \right),$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d v_1}{dt} + 2 \xi_1 \right) = j m^2 \left(-\frac{3}{2} \sin 2 D \right),$$

whence in the transformed form they are

$$\frac{d v_1}{dt} + 2 \xi_1 = \Omega_1 + \frac{3 j m^2}{4(1-m)} \cos 2 D,$$

and

$$\frac{d^2 \xi_1}{dt^2} + \xi_1 = 2 \Omega_1 + k m^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \cos 2 D \right) + \frac{\frac{3}{2} j m^2}{1-m} \cos 2 D.$$

Thus the constant term of ξ_1 is $2 \Omega_1 + \frac{1}{2} k m^2$, giving in $\frac{d v_1}{dt}$ a constant term $-3 \Omega_1 - k m^2$; this must vanish, or we have $\Omega_1 = -\frac{1}{3} k m^2$; and the equations thus become

$$\frac{d v_1}{dt} + 2 \xi_1 = -\frac{1}{3} k m^2 + \frac{3 j m^2}{4(1-m)} \cos 2 D,$$

$$\frac{d^2 \xi_1}{dt^2} + \xi_1 = -\frac{1}{6} k m^2 + \left(\frac{3}{2} k m^2 + \frac{\frac{3}{2} j m^2}{1-m} \right) \cos 2 D,$$

and then completing the integration

$$\xi_1 = -\frac{1}{6} k m^2 + \left\{ \frac{-\frac{3}{2} k m^2}{3-8m+4m^2} + \frac{-\frac{3}{2} j m^2}{(1-m)(3-8m+4m^2)} \right\} \cos 2 D,$$

$$v_1 = \left\{ \frac{\frac{3}{2} k m^2}{(1-m)(3-8m+4m^2)} + \frac{\frac{3}{2} j m^2 (7-8m+4m^2)}{(1-m)^2 (3-8m+4m^2)} \right\} \sin 2 D.$$

which are the accurate values of ξ_1 and v_1 .

Expanding as far as m^6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 = k \left(-\frac{1}{6} m^2 \right) + \cos 2 D \left\{ k \left(-\frac{1}{2} m^2 - \frac{4}{3} m^3 - \frac{26}{9} m^4 - \frac{160}{27} m^5 - \frac{968}{81} m^6 \right) \right. \\ \left. + j \left(-\frac{1}{2} m^2 - \frac{11}{6} m^3 - \frac{85}{18} m^4 - \frac{575}{54} m^5 - \frac{3661}{162} m^6 \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{which for } j = k \text{ is} \quad = k \left(-m^2 - \frac{19}{6} m^3 - \frac{137}{18} m^4 - \frac{895}{54} m^5 - \frac{5597}{162} m^6 \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 = \sin 2 D \left\{ k \left(\frac{1}{2} m^2 + \frac{11}{6} m^3 + \frac{85}{18} m^4 + \frac{575}{54} m^5 + \frac{3661}{162} m^6 \right) \right. \\ \left. + j \left(\frac{7}{8} m^2 + \frac{37}{12} m^3 + \frac{589}{72} m^4 + \frac{1037}{54} m^5 + \frac{27331}{648} m^6 \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{which for } j = k \text{ is} \quad = k \left(\frac{11}{8} m^2 + \frac{59}{12} m^3 + \frac{929}{72} m^4 + \frac{896}{27} m^5 + \frac{41975}{648} m^6 \right).$$

I have, not in general, but for the value $j = k$, calculated ξ_2 and v_2 as far as m^6 : I have not made the calculation for ξ_3 and v_3 , but their values may be deduced from the foregoing values of ξ, v ; the final expressions (when $j = k$) of $\xi, = 1 + \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \dots$ and $v, = t + v_1 + v_2 + v_3 \dots$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \xi = 1 & + k \left(-\frac{1}{6} m^2 \right) \\ & + k^2 \left(\frac{331}{288} m^4 + \frac{83}{16} m^5 + \frac{5113}{288} m^6 \right) \\ & + k^3 \left(-\frac{1621}{1296} m^6 \right) \\ & + \cos 2 D \left\{ k \left(-m^2 - \frac{19}{6} m^3 - \frac{137}{18} m^4 - \frac{895}{54} m^5 - \frac{5597}{162} m^6 \right) \right. \\ & + k^2 \left(\frac{2}{3} m^4 + \frac{31}{9} m^5 + \frac{329}{27} m^6 \right) \\ & \left. + k^3 \left(-\frac{2381}{2304} m^6 \right) \right\} \\ & + \cos 4 D \left\{ k^2 \left(-\frac{3}{8} m^4 - \frac{1217}{480} m^5 - \frac{76589}{7200} m^6 \right) \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$+ k^3 \left(\begin{array}{c} + \frac{7}{20} m^6 \end{array} \right) \Bigg\} \\ + \cos 6 D \Bigg\} k^3 \left(\begin{array}{c} - \frac{59}{256} m^6 \end{array} \right) \Bigg\}.$$

and

$$v = t$$

$$+ \sin 2 D \Bigg\} k \left(\frac{11}{8} m^2 + \frac{59}{12} m^3 + \frac{929}{72} m^4 + \frac{896}{27} m^5 + \frac{41975}{648} m^6 \right) \\ + k^2 \left(\begin{array}{c} - \frac{1}{2} m^4 - \frac{41}{12} m^5 - \frac{43}{3} m^6 \end{array} \right) \\ + k^3 \left(\begin{array}{c} - \frac{783}{2048} m^6 \end{array} \right) \Bigg\} \\ + \sin 4 D \Bigg\} k^2 \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{201}{256} m^4 + \frac{649}{120} m^5 + \frac{665263}{28800} m^6 \end{array} \right) \\ + k^3 \left(\begin{array}{c} - \frac{49}{80} m^6 \end{array} \right) \Bigg\} \\ + \sin 6 D \Bigg\} k^3 \left(\begin{array}{c} + \frac{3715}{6144} m^6 \end{array} \right) \Bigg\};$$

which for $k = 1$ agree with the foregoing formulæ (verifying them as far as m^5); the present formulæ exhibit the manner in which the expressions depend on the several powers of the disturbing force.

On the Variations of the Position of the Orbit in the Planetary Theory. By Prof. Cayley.

It has always appeared to me that in the Planetary Theory, more especially when the method of the variation of the elements is made use of, there is a difficulty as to the proper mode of dealing with the inclinations and longitudes of the nodes, hindering the ulterior development of the theory. Considering the case of two planets m, m' , and referring their orbits to any fixed plane and fixed origin of longitudes therein, let θ, θ' be the longitudes of the nodes, ϕ, ϕ' the inclinations ($p = \tan \phi \sin \theta, q = \tan \phi \cos \theta$, &c., as usual); then the disturbing functions for m, m' respectively are developed, not explicitly in terms of $\phi, \phi', \theta, \theta'$, but in terms of Φ , the mutual inclination of the two orbits, and of Θ, Θ' the longitudes in the two orbits respectively of the mutual node of the two orbits; Φ and Θ, Θ' being functions (and complicated